

Complexity Theory

Homework Sheet 6

(Turn in before the exercise session of Thursday 15 October.)

8 October 2014

Definition 1. Define RP to be the class of languages A for which there is a polytime machine M , and some constant c , such that for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$,

$$x \in A \implies \Pr_r[M(x, r) = 1] \geq 2/3,$$

$$x \notin A \implies \Pr_r[M(x, r) = 0] = 1,$$

where r is drawn from the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{n^c}$.

Define the complexity class ZPP to be the class of languages A for which there is a polytime machine M which outputs either 0, 1 or ?, and some constant c , such that for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$,

$$\Pr_r[M(x, r) = ?] \leq 1/2,$$

if $M(x, r) = 1$, then $x \in A$,

if $M(x, r) = 0$, then $x \notin A$,

where r is drawn from the uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{n^c}$.

Exercise 1. Prove the following statements.

(a) $ZPP = RP \cap \text{coRP}$

(b) $RP \subseteq NP$,

(c) $RP^{\text{RP}} \subseteq \text{BPP}$.

Exercise 2. Define $\text{BPP}/poly$. Show that $\text{BPP}/poly = P/poly$.

Exercise 3. Show that in interactive proof systems we gain nothing by allowing the prover to make use of randomness. That is, show that if we have a probabilistic prover P that convinces a verifier V to accept with probability p , where the probability is taken over the random coins of both P and V , then we have a deterministic prover P that convinces V to accept with probability $\geq p$, where the probability is now taken only over the random bits of V .